#### **Documentation of Environmental Indicator Determination**

Interim Final 7-18-01

# RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:
Facility Address:

SYRO, Inc. (AKA Syro Steel Company) 950 West 400 South, Centerville, UT 84014

Facility EPA ID #: UTD041075896

1. Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?

X Yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

#### Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

#### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

•	Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
	<u>X</u>			As, Cd, Ni, PCE, TCE still present but controlled
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		<u>X</u>		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		<u>X</u>		
Surface Water		<u>X</u>		
<del>Sediment</del>		<u>X</u>		
Subsurface. Soil (e.g., >2	? ft	<u>X</u>		
Air (outdoors)				

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

Yes If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

#### Rationale and Reference(s):

<u>Media</u>	Contaminant	Levels of Concern	Max Detected	<b>Location</b>
Groundwater	As	.05 mg/l	.06mg/l	MW-6
Groundwater	Ni	.1 mg/l	.16 mg/l	<b>MW-6</b>
Groundwater	PCE	.005 mg/l	5.8 mg/l	MW-6
Groundwater	TCE	.005 mg/l	7.4 mg/l	MW-6
Groundwater	Cd	.005 mg/l	.030 mg/l	DG-4
Groundwater	Ni	.1 mg/l	.16 mg/l	DG-4

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range)

<sup>2</sup> Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

In addition to the above table, monitoring wells 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and DG-4 have elevated levels of iron and sulfate, secondary drinking water contaminants. Monitoring wells 6 and DG-4 have elevated zinc concentrations. Downgradient wells, MW-7 and MW-8, have elevated concentrations of iron only.

Levels of concern for Groundwater contaminant come from the Water Quality Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL's) Rule R309-103, Utah division of drinking Water.

#### **Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food <sup>3</sup>
Groundwater	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Surface Water	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
<del>Sediment</del>	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft	t) no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.
- 2. Enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media—Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces "( )". While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

X	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
<del></del>	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

<sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

#### Rationale and Reference(s):

Residents via contaminated:

Groundwater: No complete pathway. No residents live on-site.

#### Workers via contaminated:

Groundwater: no complete pathway. There are no on-site wells used for production, water supply, or other activities that would place workers in contact with contaminated groundwater

Day-Care (or other non-productive and possibly sensitive receptor uses (e.g. schools, hospitals, etc.)

Groundwater: No complete pathway. There are no day-care or other non-productive facilities at the facility.

#### Construction (workers): via contaminated:

Groundwater: No complete pathway. There are no current construction activities on-site. The facility has a deed restrictions pertaining to the subsurface contamination. Procedures are in place that requires training and implementation of personal protective measure in the event construction may contact contaminated groundwater.

#### Trespassers: via contaminated:

Groundwater: No complete pathway. There are groundwater wells at the facility that could be accessed by trespassers. There are locks on existing wells and there is no expectation that trespassers would access the site since there is a 24-hour security plan in place.

#### Recreation via contaminated:

Groundwater: No complete pathway. Recreational users are not permitted at the facility as the facility has a 24-hour security plan.

#### Food via contaminated:

Groundwater: No complete pathway. No food items are produced or grown at the refinery.

4.	"significant" (greater in magn acceptable "leve (perhaps even to	i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) itude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the els" (used to identify the "contamination"; or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude hough low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the els") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

## Rationale and Reference(s):

<sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

5.		Can the "signif	icant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?				
			If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).				
			If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "acceptable" continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.				
			If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code				

6.	code (CA725),	opriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI selow (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
	_X_	Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the <u>SYRO, Inc.</u> , facility, EPA ID <u>#UTD041075896</u> , located at <u>Centerville</u> , <u>Utah</u> , under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
		NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
		IN - More information is needed to make a determination.
	Completed by:	Douglas W Taylor Environmental Scientist  Date: 8-2-0/
	Supervisor	Allan Moore Hazardous Waste Section Manager Utah DEQ/DSHW

Locations where References may be found: Utah Department of Environmental Quality Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste 288 North 1460 West P.O. Box 144880

Salt Lake City, Utah 84121

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

Doug Taylor 801-538-6857 dtaylor@deq.state.ut.us

Final Note: The Human Exposures EI is a Qualitative Screening of exposures and the determinations within this document should not be used as the sole basis for restricting the scope of more detailed (e.g., site-specific) assessments of risk.